

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,806	11/26/2003	Charles L. Compton	CCCI 0114 PUS	9770
	50764 7590 10/30/2007 BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.		EXAMINER	
1000 TOWN CI			SINGH, DALZID E	
TWENTY-SEC SOUTHFIELD,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOOTHITEED,	WII 40073		2613	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	10/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/723,806	COMPTON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dalzid Singh	2613			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
,	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 June 2007</u> .					
- ,—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/723,806

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 04 June 2007, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

Art Unit: 2613

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claims 1, 8 and 15 similarly recite "...modulator directly receiving a switchable digital signal and internally processing the switchable digital data signal to produce a modulated optical signal that directly drives the network fiber node, the optical signal being modulated by a radio frequency signal, wherein the radio frequency signal composes the HFC forward path spectrum and includes a plurality of channel slots, and wherein the radio frequency signal carries the switchable digital data signal in the plurality of channel slots."

The figures as disclosed by applicant merely show modulator receiving signals from switching device. There is no figure or schematic diagram provided to show a person of ordinary skill in the art how the modulator is processing the signal in such that it is able to provide optical signal being modulated by a radio frequency signal, wherein the radio frequency signal composes the HFC forward path spectrum and includes a plurality of channel slots, and wherein the radio frequency signal carries the switchable digital data signal in the plurality of channel slots. There is no figure or circuit diagram provided to show how the digital signal is processed to generate *radio*

Art Unit: 2613

frequency signal composes the HFC forward path spectrum and includes a plurality of channel slots, and wherein the radio frequency signal carries the switchable digital data signal in the plurality of channel slots. It appears that there is missing step or process that establishes link between signal from the digital switch to the generation of the optical signal.

In view of the 112 rejection, and the uncertainty of what limitation is applicant intending to claim, the rejection has been made based on a modulator which modulates a received digital signal from a switching device and upconverting such signal by modulating it to generate an optical signal.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8-10, 12, 13, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Deng et al. (US Pub. No. 2002/0196491 A1).

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claims 1 and 8 (in view of the 112 rejection), Deng teaches an apparatus for use in a hybrid fiber coax (HFC) network to provide the HFC forward path spectrum from the head end to a network fiber node (see fig. 4), the apparatus comprising: a head end modulator (in 102 of fig. 4) directly receiving a switchable digital data signal (from digital XC 106) and internally processing the switchable digital data signal (in 102 of fig. 4) to produce a modulated optical signal that directly drives the network fiber node (transmitted along 108 of fig. 4), the optical signal being modulated by a radio frequency signal (signals from the digital crossconnect 106 of fig. 4), wherein the radio frequency signal composes the HFC forward path spectrum and includes a plurality of channel slots (see paragraph 0031), and wherein the radio frequency signal carries the switchable digital data signal in the plurality of channel slots (see end of paragraph 0031: note that an exemplary signal can comprise 16 TDM time slots, each carrying 155 Mb/s; see also fig. 4: the optical signals are produced using the CWDM lasers which modulate the switchable data signal received from the digital XC 106; it is noted that the signals from the digital XC 106 are electrical signals, which are in the RF spectrum - see paragraph 0026; the HFC forward path spectrum is understood as the relevant electromagnetic spectrum which is transmitted by the HFC network).

Regarding claims 2 and 9, Deng teaches the head end modulator generates an analog optical signal for the network fiber node (along fiber 108 of fig. 4).

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claims 3 and 10, Deng teaches that the head end modulator processes the switchable digital data signal to dynamically allocate bandwidth to different services (the digital data signal from 106 is allocated by wavelength).

Regarding claims 5 and 12, Deng teaches that the switchable digital data signal is received in the form of a 10 GigE signal (fig. 4 - signal received at 10Gb/s).

Regarding claims 6 and 13, Deng teaches that the switchable digital data signal is received as a single digital data signal input (from 106 of fig. 4).

Regarding claim 20, Deng teaches that the RF spectrum includes a plurality of channel slots in the form of frequency ranges (see paragraph 0031).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 7, 14-16, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deng.

Regarding claims 7 and 14, Deng teaches the limitations of claims 1 and 8 but does not expressly disclose that the switchable digital data signal is received as a plurality of digital data signal inputs. However, it would have been obvious to a skilled

Art Unit: 2613

artisan at the time of invention to configure the input so as to received the signal from a plurality of inputs in order to allow reception from different networks/locations.

Regarding claim 15 (in view of the 112 rejection), Deng teaches the limitations of claim 1 including the limitation wherein the modulator processes its received switchable digital data signal to dynamically allocate bandwidth to different services to provide an essentially narrow cast approach among the plurality, of modulators (the digital data signal from 106 is allocated by wavelength). Deng does not specifically disclose a plurality of modulators to receive the digital data signal and produce the HFC forward path spectrum. However, it is well known in view of admitted prior art that using a plurality of modulators, instead of a single modulator, to transmit a forward path spectrum is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have a plurality of modulators in the network system of Deng in order to transmit and receive signals to multiple customer premises at the same central office, so as to effectively handle high capacity traffic in a cost efficient manner. This is taken to be admitted prior art because applicant failed to trayerse the examiner's assertion of official notice.

Regarding claim 16, Deng teaches the head end modulator generates an analog optical signal for the network fiber node (along fiber 108 of fig. 4).

Regarding claim 18, Deng teaches that the switchable digital data signal is received in the form of a 10 GigE signal (fig. 4 - signal received at 10Gb/s).

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claim 19, Deng teaches that the switchable digital data signal is received as a single digital data signal input (from 106 of fig. 4).

7. Claims 4, 11, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deng in view of Applicant's prior art.

Regarding claims 4, 11, and 17, Deng teaches the limitations of claims 1, 8, and 15 but does not expressly disclose that the switchable digital data signal is received in the form of a 1GigE signal. However, digital data received by a central office at a 1GigE level is well known in the art. Applicant's prior art discloses that signals at switchable forms such as 1GigE or 10GigE is well known (pg. 1, lines 24-26)., It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to receive signals in 1GigE in order to make use of its cost- effectiveness and to take advantage of the bandwidth capabilities.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalzid Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-3029. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9am 5pm.

Art Unit: 2613

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

October 17, 2007

DALZID SINGH PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 9